



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,844	03/04/2002	Walter Navarrini	108910-00056	4312
75	590 10/10/2002			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER	
			ZITOMER, FRED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	6
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 10/086,844

Navarrini et al.

Office Action Summary

.

Examiner Fred Zitomer Art Unit 1713



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.					
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the 	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-8</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗌 All b) 🗍 Some* c) 🔲 None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
of					

Application/Control Number: 10/086,844

Art Unit: 1713

1.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to peroxides, classified in class 568, subclass 560.
- II. Claims 2-8, drawn to polymerization processes, classified in class 526, subclass227.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as an oxidizing agent in the materially different process of oxidation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/086,844

Art Unit: 1713

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

2.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: peroxides and polymers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

If Group I is elected: applicant is required to elect a single peroxide from formulas (A), (B) or (C) wherein each component of the elected formula is identified.

If Group II is elected: applicant is required to elect a single peroxide as for Group I and to additionally specify all monomers being polymerized.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/086,844

Art Unit: 1713

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Zitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2461. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful David Wu can be reached at (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 (before final) and (703) 872-9311 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

> FRED ZITOMER, PHD PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1713

Zitomer/fz October 8, 2002